● AO 47	2 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT District of NEBRASKA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2008 OCT -7 PM L: 17
	v. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	JOSE RODRIGUEZ-ESPINOSA Case 4:08CR3124 OFFICE OF THE CLERK
	Defendant
In a detentio	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the n of the defendant pending trial in this case.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
(I)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)
× (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
	X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding I that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention Indeed that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponsion of the evidence that Def. Walved high agrees to detention
	ICE betainer
to the erreasonal Government	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a oble opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance action with a court proceeding. Signature of Judicial Officer
	David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).